# Sprint Two Marking Guidelines

STUDENT NAME \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ ID \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ DATE \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Discussion Topics for Teams (CITE – Team meeting) |  |
| * Software Review (evaluating a software product) * Code tool to improve performance * Subscription options for end users * Email etiquette * GUI testing, Code testing * Document standards, presentation requirements |  |
| Marking Criteria |  |
| The team has updated the source control system with the sprint two code and associated documents. | 🞏 |
| The team has a Project Management Plan completed up to the sprint two assessment milestone. | 🞏 |
| A Software Review Plan document that reflects CITE Managed Services QA | 🞏 |
| The Performance Report outlines suitable code optimisers and performance tools | 🞏 |
| The Movie Database Application functions correctly on multiple platforms. | 🞏 |
| The presenter can explain how the membership sign-in works. | 🞏 |
| The presenter can explain how the administrator portal works. | 🞏 |
| Ensure all documentation is properly formatted, headers, footers, page numbers ToC, etc. | 🞏 |
| Ensure all topics are covered using common terminology, | 🞏 |
| Testing for membership UI has been documented | 🞏 |

Note; place a tick or cross for each criteria.
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